Model Complexity STAT 4710

September 14, 2023

Rolling into Unit 2

Unit 1: R for data mining
Unit 2: Prediction fundamentals
Unit 3: Regression-based methods
Unit 4: Tree-based methods
Unit 5: Deep learning

Lecture 1: Model complexity

Lecture 2: Bias-variance trade-off

Lecture 3: Cross-validation

Lecture 4: Classification

Lecture 5: Unit review and quiz in class

Model complexity: How flexibly a predictive model can fit its training data.

Model complexity:

How flexibly a predictive model can fit its training data.

1. Case study: Fitting curves to scatter plots



Model complexity:

How flexibly a predictive model can fit its training data.

- 1. Case study: Fitting curves to scatter plots
- 2. Definition of model complexity



Model complexity:

How flexibly a predictive model can fit its training data.

- 1. Case study: Fitting curves to scatter plots
- 2. Definition of model complexity
- 3. How model complexity impacts predictive performance



Example: Fit trend of income based on age

What does the trend look like?



Intercept-only model (no trend)

income = $\beta_0 + \epsilon$



Linear model (linear trend)

$$\mathsf{income} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot \mathsf{age} + \epsilon$$



Polynomial model (quadratic trend)

$$\mathsf{income} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot \mathsf{age} + \beta_2 \cdot \mathsf{age}^2 + \epsilon$$



Polynomial model (cubic trend)

$$\mathsf{income} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot \mathsf{age} + \beta_2 \cdot \mathsf{age}^2 + \beta_3 \cdot \mathsf{age}^3 + \epsilon$$



20th degree polynomial model

$$\mathsf{income} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot \mathsf{age} + \beta_2 \cdot \mathsf{age}^2 + \dots + \beta_{20} \cdot \mathsf{age}^{20} + \epsilon$$



Piece-wise polynomial (piece-wise constant)

$$\mathsf{income} = \beta_1 \cdot 1(\mathsf{age} \in I_1) + \dots + \beta_4 \cdot 1(\mathsf{age} \in I_4) + \epsilon$$



Piece-wise polynomial (piece-wise constant)

$$\mathsf{income} = \beta_1 \cdot 1(\mathsf{age} \in I_1) + \dots + \beta_4 \cdot 1(\mathsf{age} \in I_4) + \epsilon$$



Piece-wise polynomial (piece-wise linear)

 $\mathsf{income} = (\beta_{01} + \beta_{11} \mathsf{age}) \cdot 1(\mathsf{age} \in I_1) + \dots + (\beta_{04} + \beta_{14} \mathsf{age}) \cdot 1(\mathsf{age} \in I_4) + \epsilon$



Piece-wise polynomial (piece-wise quadratic)

 $\mathsf{income} = (\beta_{01} + \beta_{11}\mathsf{age} + \beta_{21}\mathsf{age}^2) \cdot 1(\mathsf{age} \in I_1) + \dots + (\dots) \cdot 1(\mathsf{age} \in I_4) + \epsilon$



 $\mathsf{income} = (\beta_{01} + \beta_{11}\mathsf{age}) \cdot 1(\mathsf{age} \in I_1) + \dots + (\beta_{04} + \beta_{14}\mathsf{age}) \cdot 1(\mathsf{age} \in I_4) + \epsilon$



 $\mathsf{income} = (\beta_{01} + \beta_{11}\mathsf{age}) \cdot 1(\mathsf{age} \in I_1) + \dots + (\beta_{04} + \beta_{14}\mathsf{age}) \cdot 1(\mathsf{age} \in I_4) + \epsilon$



$$\mathsf{income} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot g_1(\mathsf{age}) + \dots + \beta_{p-1} \cdot g_{p-1}(\mathsf{age}) + \epsilon$$



$$\mathsf{income} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot g_1(\mathsf{age}) + \dots + \beta_{p-1} \cdot g_{p-1}(\mathsf{age}) + \epsilon$$



Spline (piece-wise cubic)

$$\mathsf{income} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot g_1(\mathsf{age}) + \dots + \beta_{p-1} \cdot g_{p-1}(\mathsf{age}) + \epsilon$$



Natural cubic spline (with 5 total knots)

$$\mathsf{income} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot g_1(\mathsf{age}) + \dots + \beta_{p-1} \cdot g_{p-1}(\mathsf{age}) + \epsilon$$



Natural cubic spline (with 5 total knots)

$$\mathsf{income} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot g_1(\mathsf{age}) + \dots + \beta_{p-1} \cdot g_{p-1}(\mathsf{age}) + \epsilon$$



Natural cubic spline (with 5 total knots)

$$\mathsf{income} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot g_1(\mathsf{age}) + \dots + \beta_{p-1} \cdot g_{p-1}(\mathsf{age}) + \epsilon$$

The preferred way to fit smooth curves to data.



Natural cubic spline (with 8 total knots)

$$\mathsf{income} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot g_1(\mathsf{age}) + \dots + \beta_{p-1} \cdot g_{p-1}(\mathsf{age}) + \epsilon$$

The preferred way to fit smooth curves to data.



Natural cubic spline (with 20 total knots)

$$\mathsf{income} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot g_1(\mathsf{age}) + \dots + \beta_{p-1} \cdot g_{p-1}(\mathsf{age}) + \epsilon$$

The preferred way to fit smooth curves to data.



All of these models are linear regression models of the form

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot g_1(x) + \dots + \beta_{p-1} \cdot g_{p-1}(x) + \epsilon.$$

All of these models are linear regression models of the form

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot g_1(x) + \dots + \beta_{p-1} \cdot g_{p-1}(x) + \epsilon.$$

Given training data $(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_n, y_n)$, they are fit using least squares:

$$(\hat{\beta}_0, \dots, \hat{\beta}_{p-1}) \equiv \underset{\beta_0, \dots, \beta_{p-1}}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - (\beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot g_1(x_i) + \dots + \beta_{p-1} \cdot g_{p-1}(x_i)))^2,$$

All of these models are linear regression models of the form

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot g_1(x) + \dots + \beta_{p-1} \cdot g_{p-1}(x) + \epsilon.$$

Given training data $(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_n, y_n)$, they are fit using least squares:

$$(\hat{\beta}_0, \dots, \hat{\beta}_{p-1}) \equiv \underset{\beta_0, \dots, \beta_{p-1}}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - (\beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot g_1(x_i) + \dots + \beta_{p-1} \cdot g_{p-1}(x_i)))^2,$$

i.e. $(\hat{\beta}_0, ..., \hat{\beta}_{p-1})$ is the coefficient vector minimizing the the squared distance between the training responses y_i and their predictions.

The model fitting process involves a search over p parameters:

$$(\hat{\beta}_0, \dots, \hat{\beta}_{p-1}) \equiv \arg\min_{\beta_0, \dots, \beta_{p-1}} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - (\beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot g_1(x_i) + \dots + \beta_{p-1} \cdot g_{p-1}(x_i)))^2.$$

The model fitting process involves a search over p parameters:

$$(\hat{\beta}_0, \dots, \hat{\beta}_{p-1}) \equiv \arg\min_{\beta_0, \dots, \beta_{p-1}} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - (\beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot g_1(x_i) + \dots + \beta_{p-1} \cdot g_{p-1}(x_i)))^2.$$

The complexity of least squares for the model

The model fitting process involves a search over *p* parameters:

$$(\hat{\beta}_0, \dots, \hat{\beta}_{p-1}) \equiv \arg\min_{\beta_0, \dots, \beta_{p-1}} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - (\beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot g_1(x_i) + \dots + \beta_{p-1} \cdot g_{p-1}(x_i)))^2.$$

The complexity of least squares for the model

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot g_1(x) + \dots + \beta_{p-1} \cdot g_{p-1}(x) + \epsilon$$

The model fitting process involves a search over p parameters:

$$(\hat{\beta}_0, \dots, \hat{\beta}_{p-1}) \equiv \arg\min_{\beta_0, \dots, \beta_{p-1}} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - (\beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot g_1(x_i) + \dots + \beta_{p-1} \cdot g_{p-1}(x_i)))^2.$$

The complexity of least squares for the model

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot g_1(x) + \dots + \beta_{p-1} \cdot g_{p-1}(x) + \epsilon$$

is quantified by its degrees of freedom (df), the number of free parameters β_i .

The model fitting process involves a search over p parameters:

$$(\hat{\beta}_0, \dots, \hat{\beta}_{p-1}) \equiv \arg\min_{\beta_0, \dots, \beta_{p-1}} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - (\beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot g_1(x_i) + \dots + \beta_{p-1} \cdot g_{p-1}(x_i)))^2.$$

The complexity of least squares for the model

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot g_1(x) + \dots + \beta_{p-1} \cdot g_{p-1}(x) + \epsilon$$

is quantified by its degrees of freedom (df), the number of free parameters β_i .

For example, the model above has *p* degrees of freedom.

• Polynomials of degree p have p + 1 degrees of freedom.

- Polynomials of degree p have p + 1 degrees of freedom.
- Natural cubic splines with *K* total knots have *K* degrees of freedom.



- Polynomials of degree p have p + 1 degrees of freedom.
- Natural cubic splines with K total knots have K degrees of freedom.*



*Caution: Sometimes the intercept is excluded from the spline definition, so a spline with K total knots is sometimes considered to have df = K - 1.

- Polynomials of degree p have p + 1 degrees of freedom.
- Natural cubic splines with K total knots have K degrees of freedom.*
- Model complexity has an important effect on predictive performance:



*Caution: Sometimes the intercept is excluded from the spline definition, so a spline with K total knots is sometimes considered to have df = K - 1.

- Polynomials of degree p have p + 1 degrees of freedom.
- Natural cubic splines with *K* total knots have *K* degrees of freedom.*
- Model complexity has an important effect on predictive performance:
 - Too flexible \rightarrow too sensitive to noise in training data



*Caution: Sometimes the intercept is excluded from the spline definition, so a spline with K total knots is sometimes considered to have df = K - 1.

- Polynomials of degree p have p + 1 degrees of freedom.
- Natural cubic splines with K total knots have K degrees of freedom.*
- Model complexity has an important effect on predictive performance:
 - Too flexible \rightarrow too sensitive to noise in training data
 - Not flexible enough → can't capture the underlying trend





Construct predictive model \hat{f} based on training data $(X_1^{\text{train}}, Y_1^{\text{train}}), \dots, (X_n^{\text{train}}, Y_n^{\text{train}})$.

Construct predictive model \hat{f} based on training data $(X_1^{\text{train}}, Y_1^{\text{train}}), \dots, (X_n^{\text{train}}, Y_n^{\text{train}})$.

The root mean squared training error is

Training RMSE =
$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i^{\text{train}} - \hat{f}(X_i^{\text{train}}))^2}$$
.

Construct predictive model \hat{f} based on training data $(X_1^{\text{train}}, Y_1^{\text{train}}), \dots, (X_n^{\text{train}}, Y_n^{\text{train}})$.

The root mean squared training error is

Training RMSE =
$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i^{\text{train}} - \hat{f}(X_i^{\text{train}}))^2}$$
.

Will deploy \hat{f} on test data $X_1^{\text{test}}, \dots, X_N^{\text{test}}$ to guess $\hat{Y}_i^{\text{test}} = \hat{f}(X_i^{\text{test}})$ for each i. Each X_i^{test} comes with a response Y_i^{test} , unknown to the predictive model.

Construct predictive model \hat{f} based on training data $(X_1^{\text{train}}, Y_1^{\text{train}}), \dots, (X_n^{\text{train}}, Y_n^{\text{train}})$.

The root mean squared training error is

Training RMSE =
$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i^{\text{train}} - \hat{f}(X_i^{\text{train}}))^2}$$
.

Will deploy \hat{f} on test data $X_1^{\text{test}}, \dots, X_N^{\text{test}}$ to guess $\hat{Y}_i^{\text{test}} = \hat{f}(X_i^{\text{test}})$ for each i. Each X_i^{test} comes with a response Y_i^{test} , unknown to the predictive model.

Prediction quality is quantified by test error: extent to which $Y_i^{\text{test}} \approx \hat{Y}_i^{\text{test}}$, e.g.

$$\text{Test RMSE} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (Y_i^{\text{test}} - \hat{f}(X_i^{\text{test}}))^2} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (Y_i^{\text{test}} - \hat{Y}_i^{\text{test}})^2}.$$



Model complexity: how closely the model \hat{f} fits the training data:

$$Y_i^{\text{train}} = f(X_i^{\text{train}}) + \epsilon_i.$$



Model complexity: how closely the model \hat{f} fits the training data:

$$Y_i^{\text{train}} = f(X_i^{\text{train}}) + \epsilon_i.$$

During training, \hat{f} picks up on patterns in both f (the signal) and ϵ_i (the noise).



Model complexity: how closely the model \hat{f} fits the training data:

 $Y_i^{\text{train}} = f(X_i^{\text{train}}) + \epsilon_i.$

During training, \hat{f} picks up on patterns in both f (the signal) and ϵ_i (the noise).

Training error of \hat{f} decreases as we increase model complexity, but test error will be high if model complexity is too low or too high.



Model complexity: how closely the model \hat{f} fits the training data:

 $Y_i^{\text{train}} = f(X_i^{\text{train}}) + \epsilon_i.$

During training, \hat{f} picks up on patterns in both f (the signal) and ϵ_i (the noise).

Training error of \hat{f} decreases as we increase model complexity, but test error will be high if model complexity is too low or too high.



Model complexity: how closely the model \hat{f} fits the training data:

 $Y_i^{\text{train}} = f(X_i^{\text{train}}) + \epsilon_i.$

During training, \hat{f} picks up on patterns in both f (the signal) and ϵ_i (the noise).

Training error of \hat{f} decreases as we increase model complexity, but test error will be high if model complexity is too low or too high.

Training error is an underestimate of the test error, especially as the model complexity increases (overfitting).

